

LOCAL AI FOR COMMUNITIES AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

...where to start?

EMMA BEAUXIS-AUSSALET
e.m.a.l.beauxisaussalet@vu.nl

BEYOND EFFICIENCY

Algorithmic systems embed public policies,
they moved “at the centre of the coercive and (re)distributive power of the state”. [1]

- [1] Engstrom et al., 2020, Government by algorithm: Artificial intelligence in federal administrative agencies (p.11)
- [2] Brauneis & Goodman, 2018, Algorithmic Transparency for the Smart City (p.109)
- [3] Levy et al. 2021, Algorithms and Decision-Making in the Public Sector (Section 3)

BEYOND EFFICIENCY

Algorithmic systems embed public policies,
they moved “at the centre of the coercive and (re)distributive power of the state”. [1]

They may “enable corporate captures of public power” [2]

“leaving progressively less to human [...] judgement” [1]

while “shaping and [...] displacing the decisions” [1] [3]

[1] Engstrom et al., 2020, Government by algorithm: Artificial intelligence in federal administrative agencies (p.11)

[2] Brauneis & Goodman, 2018, Algorithmic Transparency for the Smart City (p.109)

[3] Levy et al. 2021, Algorithms and Decision-Making in the Public Sector (Section 3)

GLOBAL-LOCAL DYNAMICS

“*Local variability* is in tension with [...] top-down, global [principles]”
such as fairness or accuracy. [4]

[4] Solow-Niederman et al., 2019, The institutional life of algorithmic risk assessment (p.709)

[5] Alkhatib & Bernstein, 2019, Street-Level Algorithms: A Theory at the Gaps Between Policy and Decisions

GLOBAL-LOCAL DYNAMICS

“**Local variability** is in tension with [...] top-down, global [principles]”
such as fairness or accuracy. [4]

Algorithmic federalism enables global policies and tools
while local communities decide the specific implementations. [4]

[4] Solow-Niederman et al., 2019, The institutional life of algorithmic risk assessment (p.709)

[5] Alkhatib & Bernstein, 2019, Street-Level Algorithms: A Theory at the Gaps Between Policy and Decisions

GLOBAL-LOCAL DYNAMICS

“**Local variability** is in tension with [...] top-down, global [principles]”
such as fairness or accuracy. [4]

Algorithmic federalism enables global policies and tools
while local communities decide the specific implementations. [4]

Street-level algorithms remain hard to refine,
and **explanations & recourse** are key to improvement. [5]

[4] Solow-Niederman et al., 2019, The institutional life of algorithmic risk assessment (p.709)

[5] Alkhatib & Bernstein, 2019, Street-Level Algorithms: A Theory at the Gaps Between Policy and Decisions

SHOWING & LEARNING

Algorithm registers are promising for **transparency & citizen involvement** [6] but **incomplete content** (e.g., error assessment, omitted algorithms) reflect **practical & political challenges**. [7]

[3] Levy et al. 2021, Algorithms and Decision-Making in the Public Sector (Section 2.1)

[6] Floridi, 2020. AI as a public service: Learning from Amsterdam and Helsinki.

[7] Cath & Jansen, 2021. Dutch Comfort: The limits of AI governance through municipal registers.

SHOWING & LEARNING

Algorithm registers are promising for **transparency & citizen involvement** [6] but **incomplete content** (e.g., error assessment, omitted algorithms) reflect **practical & political challenges**. [7]

They may grow with the **AI literacy** of **both citizens & civil servants**.

[3] Levy et al. 2021, Algorithms and Decision-Making in the Public Sector (Section 2.1)

[6] Floridi, 2020. AI as a public service: Learning from Amsterdam and Helsinki.

[7] Cath & Jansen, 2021. Dutch Comfort: The limits of AI governance through municipal registers.

SHOWING & LEARNING

Algorithm registers are promising for **transparency & citizen involvement** [6] but **incomplete content** (e.g., error assessment, omitted algorithms) reflect **practical & political challenges**. [7]

They may grow with the **AI literacy** of **both citizens & civil servants**.

Beyond technicalities and inventories, responsible AI needs **clear language & problem definition**. [3]

[3] Levy et al. 2021, Algorithms and Decision-Making in the Public Sector (Section 2.1)

[6] Floridi, 2020. AI as a public service: Learning from Amsterdam and Helsinki.

[7] Cath & Jansen, 2021. Dutch Comfort: The limits of AI governance through municipal registers.

NETWORKS OF ACTORS

Organisational gaps are critical, especially for responsible AI. [8]

Who should do what and when?

We know the key phases & tasks of algorithm lifecycle,
but actors should have the right skills & roles for them.

[8] Wieringa, 2020, What to account for when accounting for algorithms.

Truth is a broken mirror.
Everyone picks up a piece,
And think they see the whole truth.
— Rumi