



Urban resilience governance

Gobernanza de la resiliencia urbana

Urban resilience and digital data:

Examples of data partnership governance in Montreal and Quebec

Marie-Christine Therrien Ph.D.

Director of Cité-ID LivingLab Urban Resilience Governance École nationale d'administration publique - Canada Marie-christine.therrien@enap.ca

Open and Agile Smart City Summit — Panel: Local Artificial Intelligence for Communities and Public Administrations, where to start?

June 14th, 2023

Cité-ID LivingLab – Governance of urban resilience

- Created on January 30, 2018 with the support of the Quebec Research Funds and the Ministry of Public Security, Cité-ID is a research-intervention laboratory of the National School of Public Administration of Quebec.
- Its mission: To carry out **research-intervention work** on complex issues identified by **stakeholders** (public, private, community actors, citizens, etc.) in order to co-construct innovative **governance practices** to increase **resilience**.

Cité-ID LivingLab (cite-id.com)

Other Responsibilities:

- Co-director of the **Uni-Cité Collaboratory**: Foster links between science and urban public policies Create a space for collaboration to optimize and promote the realization of projects uniting municipal actors and researchers <u>www.uni-cite.ca</u>
- Co-responsible for the **Deliberation function** of the International Observatory on the societal effects of digital technology and artificial intelligence: https://observatoire-ia.ulaval.ca/fonction/deliberation/

Key issues

- 1. A few elements of **definition** on **key notions**:
 - Urban resilience, smart cities and data governance
- 2. Governance issues of digital data partnerships
 - from scientific papers
- 3. Governance issues of digital data partnerships
 - drawn from 3 practical examples, i.e. research-action approaches carried out by ENAP's Cité-ID LivingLab

1- Elements of definition on key notions

1. A few elements of definition on key notions

Urban resilience



 New paradigm in civil security advocating for the need to develop new <u>adaptive capacities</u> of urban systems to crises through the construction of <u>collaborative</u> <u>networks</u> (Normandin et al. 2019; Therrien et al. 2021).

Smart cities



- Socio-technical constructions linking digital technologies and collaborative governance (Meijer, 2018).
- They use new technologies (eg sensors) to <u>visualize</u>
 <u>data</u> from different sectors (health, transport, energy)
 in order to allow <u>cities to identify risks and make</u>
 <u>better decisions</u> (Leszczynski, 2016).



Governance



What <u>are the challenges</u> to the development of <u>adaptive capacities</u> by governance networks in smart cities?

1-Definition of data governance

The **rules** and ways of doing things that guide **collective decision-making** through **data partnerships** through **communication and collaboration processes** (Ansell & Gash, 2008).

1- Elements of definition on key notions

The governance of data partnerships involves :

- Identification of stakeholders;
- Definition of the data to be shared and their use;
- Construction of a common vision;
- Definition of common objectives ;
- Determination of ethical principles and operating rules;
- Definition of roles and responsibilities .

2- Issues of data governance – Scientific writings

2 - Data governance issues drawn from scientific writings

- Legal barriers
- Working in silos
- Data Ownership
- Organizational capacities: appropriate infrastructures, human resources, skills, analytical capacities, etc.
- Collaboration: different objectives and feeling of loss of control of the process
- Lack of interoperability systems, lack of standardization and quality of data.
- Cyber-security
- Difficulties integrating data into decision-making

3- Data governance issues – Practical examples of Cité-ID

3- Data governance issues drawn from practical examples **Example 1**: Use of **geographic information technologies** and **evidence-based data** for the **ecological transition** in the borough of Rosemont – la Petite-Patrie

- Research as part of the Civic Innovation Laboratory for Regulatory Experimentation
 (LICER) with public administrators working in regulatory review in Rosemont
- Use of **territorial maps** of the borough to **visualize data** on greening, canopy, carbon capture, heat islands, mineralization and biodiversity.
- These data are the basis of the ecological transition plan and the borough's regulatory changes associated with it.

Issues raised: Lack of technologies and expertise. Use of private firms to carry out the studies. Impact at borough level but differences with other boroughs. Lack of formal mechanisms for sharing information and data between the different boroughs.

3- Data governance issues drawn from practical examples

3- Data governance issues – Practical examples of Cité-ID

Example 2: Co-construction of the Institut du Nouveau Monde (INM) data governance model

• Cité-ID supports the INM and partners in a knowledge transfer process aimed at coconstructing its governance model for sharing data from public and citizen consultations

- **Issues identified** so far:
 - No ready-made recipe .
 - Issues specific to NPOs and data sharing: no specific legislation, lack of concrete examples, lack of scientific research
 - Lack of intra-organizational capacities in terms of:
 - Human resources to identify data to be shared;
 - **Expertise** : responsible data governance, knowledge of the legal framework and ethical principles
 - Financial resources to operate the digital shift & data management
 - Feeling of overflow: a lot of new knowledge to integrate into the work practices of the organization

3- Data governance issues drawn from practical examples

3- Data governance issues – Practical examples of Cité-ID

Example 3 : COVID-19 **Community Action Plan (CAP)** Studies

- Produced by Cité-ID, in collaboration with the Public health authorities + researchers from the McGill Department of Epidemiology
 - PAC: Funded by the Fonds COVID Québec, 26 Gr. Mtl territories, community organizations, CIUSSS/CISSS + boroughs
- Our study demonstrates
 - PAC = mode of **collaborative governance** based on community engagement that has promoted **resilient health crisis management**
 - the importance of data sharing to support informed decision-making and coordinated interventions on the ground

However, several issues:

- organizations: mistrust, discomfort, lack of digital literacy, expertise and resources in data cleaning and analysis
- Health organizations: legal and regulatory obstacles (consent, protection of personal information)
- Lack of discussion on a responsible data sharing governance model
- Lack of digital infrastructure for common data management

Conclusion

Conclusion

- The development of adaptive and resilient capacities of smart cities requires the development of capacities for the governance of data partnerships.
- > The examples presented
 - show that these partnerships can take place at different scales (organization, district, city, province) and that the size of the networks of stakeholders varies according to these.
 - reveal common issues:
 - Lack of intra-organizational capacities (financial resources, expertise, technological infrastructures)
 - Lack of inter-organizational capacities (governance and collaboration mechanisms, including formal communication and data sharing mechanisms).
 - Even if there is no "ready-made recipe" for a governance model, each case seems to be called upon to reflect on the following dimensions:
 - policy, use and valuation of data, legal, technological, ethical and evaluative
- The **governance of data partnerships** is a **complex process** requiring support and varied expertise.



Urban resilience governance

Gobernanza de la resiliencia urbana